

**REMARKS / DISCUSSION OF ISSUES**

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Claims are amended for non-statutory reasons, to correct one or more informalities, remove figure label number(s), and/or to replace European claim phraseology with U.S. claim language having the same meaning. The claims are not narrowed in scope and no new matter is added by these amendments.

The Final Office Action rejects claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. 6,061,039 (Ryan) in view of DE 19950839 (Wolfgang). It is respectfully submitted that claims 1-20 are patentable over Ryan in view of Wolfgang for at least the following reasons.

The rejected claims are patentable over Ryan and Wolfgang at least because those references, taken individually or in combination, do not teach or suggest all the elements recited in independent claim 1, and similarly recited in independent claim 17 for example (illustrative emphasis provided):

the semiconductor device being provided with drive means for driving pixels dependent on data to be displayed and with data processing means for receiving encoded data provided in a compressed format according to an international standard and for decoding the encoded data to provide the data to the drive means.


Further, Ryan and Wolfgang, and combinations thereof, do not teach or suggest the elements recited in independent claim 20, and similarly recited in dependent claim 16, (illustrative emphasis provided):

the semiconductor device being provided with a driver configured to drive pixels based on data to be displayed and with a data processor configured to receive encoded data that includes addressing data as a part of an encoded data portion.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1, 17 and 20 are allowable. In addition, claims 2-16, 18 and 19 are allowable at least because they depend from independent claims 1 and 17, as well as for the separately patentable elements contained in each of the dependent claims.

In view of the foregoing, applicant(s) respectfully request(s) that the Examiner withdraw the objection(s) and/or rejection(s) of record, allow all the pending claims, and find the application to be in condition for allowance. If any points remain in issue that may best be resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Gregory L. Thorne  
Reg. 39,398  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
111 West Main Street

THORNE & HALAJIAN, LLP  
Applied Technology Center  
May 10, 2007  
Phone: (631) 665-5139  
Fax: (631) 665-5101